Optical Recordings of the Cortical Response to Whisker Stimulation Before and After the Addition of an Epileptogenic Agent
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Optical recording methods using voltage-sensitive dyes were used to monitor activity in rat somatosensory cortex. We measured evoked signals in response to whisker stimulation before (control) and after the addition of the epileptogenic agent, bicuculline, and also detected spontaneous interictal events that occurred after bicuculline. Bicuculline led to an increase in the size, duration, cortical extent, and, surprisingly, the latency of the evoked responses. These enhanced evoked responses appeared to originate in the region of the control response and propagate outward. In contrast, the spontaneous signals appeared to originate at random cortical positions and had a more variable cortical extent. A transition signal measured just after the addition of bicuculline was larger than the control response but localized and rapid in time course. In most cases, there was a good correlation between the optical recordings and field potential measurements made with a ball electrode on the cortical surface, but there were occasional instances where the optical signal disappeared while the ball electrode signal was unchanged.

Optical methods for monitoring neuron activity might be useful for determining the origin and the spatial spread of epileptiform events because these methods allow simultaneous monitoring of cortical activity at many sites. Early attempts to use multisite imaging to measure epileptic activity in cortex (Schuette et al., 1974) involved measurements of NADH fluorescence, an indicator of the intracellular metabolic state. Optical measurements during epileptic events from single sites in cortex have been reported by several laboratories (Jobsis et al., 1971; Rosenthal and Jobsis, 1971; O'Connor et al., 1972; Lewis et al., 1974; Evans and Smith, 1987). While NADH fluorescence was measured in most of these experiments, Evans and Smith (1987) also measured the fluorescence of a potential-sensitive oxonol dye. However, they suggested that this signal was reporting changes in mitochondrial membrane potential and thus both the NADH and the oxonol signals were interpreted in terms of cortical energy metabolism.

More recently, Orbach et al. (1985) showed that the fluorescence from cortex stained with a potential-sensitive styryl dye could be used to form a rough image of cortical activity in response to whisker movements. It seems likely that the styryl signals represented the average change in membrane potential of the membranes imaged onto each photodetector. Orbach et al. (1985) also found that applying the epileptogenic agent bicuculline to the cortex led to larger and longer-lasting signals which occurred over an extended area of cortex. Bicuculline is a GABA antagonist that increases the size of evoked responses as recorded with extracellular electrodes (Zimon et al., 1980) and induces spontaneous interictal epileptiform discharges. We have pursued these findings in an attempt to determine the relationship of the optical signals that occur in normal cortex (control responses) and in cortex after the addition of bicuculline. We also hoped to clarify the relationship between epileptic events evoked by whisker stimulation and those that occurred spontaneously in the presence of bicuculline. The measurements we describe were made with a recording time resolution of about 1 msec, more than 100 times faster than those used for measuring cortical energy metabolism.


Materials and Methods

Optical methods for measuring changes in fluorescence from the stained cortex were modifications of those previously published (Grinvald et al., 1981; Orbach and Cohen, 1983; Orbach et al., 1985; Cohen and Lesher, 1986). Epi-illumination was used, and fluorescence was measured using 124 elements of a 12 x 12 photodiode array (Fig. 1). A nominal 2.5 x, 0.08 NA microscope objective formed an image of the cortex on the diode array. The actual magnification of the objective was 3.3 x. With this magnification, the centers of neighboring diodes will receive light from points on the cortex that are separated by 450 μm; the total area of cortex that was monitored was 5.5 x 5.5 mm, about 5 times larger than was examined previously (Orbach et al., 1985). The output of each detector was amplified, digitized, and stored in a DEC PDP 11/34 computer. For analysis the data were transferred to a Motorola VME 1000 computer. The programs, in 68020 assembler and PASCAL, running under VERSADOS, are available from the authors. An Ag/AgCl, ball electrode was positioned on the dura just outside the field of view of the photodiode array (at the upper right corner in Figs. 2 and 7).

Noise reduction. The raw fluorescence measurements contain large artifacts due to the movement of the cortex as a consequence of the pulsatile blood flow and respiratory activity. In addition to subtraction methods to reduce heartbeat artifacts (Orbach et al., 1985), we also eliminated the noise due to respiratory movements. The rats were paralyzed and artificially respirated; during each trial the computer turned off the respirator for about 1.5 sec. Even after these procedures, signal averaging was needed to detect the control signals; averaging was not...
used for measurements of signals after the addition of bicuculline.

The low- and high-frequency response of the system was limited electronically to 1.5–300 Hz. Additional high-frequency filtering was carried out digitally using a 1-2-1 digital smoothing routine. Ten passes of this routine reduced the high-frequency cut-off to 20 Hz. The effects of this filtering are illustrated in figure 1C of Orbach et al. (1985). The most prominent distortion will be a reduction in the duration of slow signals, i.e., those measured after the addition of bicuculline.

Preparation. Male, albino Wistar SPF rats, 180–300 gm, were anesthetized with Equithesine (4 ml/kg). A 6 × 6 mm craniotomy was performed over the somatosensory cortex. The dorsal edge of the craniotomy was 3 mm from the midline, the caudal edge was at lambda. The dura was left intact. Previous experiments (Orbach et al., 1985) suggested that in the rat, where the dura is transparent, the presence of the dura did not seem to affect signal size or distribution. A well to hold the staining solution was made with Kadon dental cement applied to the surrounding bone. The cortex was stained for 1 hr using a 1 mg/ml solution of the styryl dye RH795 (Grinvald et al., 1986) in saline. The dye was continuously and gently swirled over the dura using a perfusion pump. We used this dye in place of the previously used RH414 because RH414 at concentrations higher than 0.1 mg/ml caused an irreversible constriction of arteries on the surface of the cortex. We thank Amiram Grinvald for telling us about this problem and suggesting the use of RH795. After staining, the rat was respirated using a Harvard small animal ventilator (110/min with a volume of 3 ml) and then paralyzed using 100 mg/kg Flaxedil. A 1 mm bicuculline solution was prepared as previously described (Zemon et al., 1980). The bicuculline was applied by placing a drop of the solution over the entire area of the cortex exposed by the craniotomy. With the objective we used, most of this exposed area was imaged onto the array. A heating pad was used to regulate the rectal temperature of the animal between 36 and 39°C.

Experimental protocol. The following procedure was used for each evoked trial. The incident light shutter was opened. One second later, the respirator was turned off. After another 0.5 sec the computer triggered the data acquisition on the next upswing of the largest electrocardiogram (ECG) signal. No stimulation was given during this recording. Following this first recording the computer again looked for the ECG signal and initiated a second recording. During the second recording the whisker was moved. At the end of the second recording, the respirator was turned on and the shutter was closed. The first recording was then subtracted from the second; the subtracted result is called a single trial. The whisker movement (Orbach et al., 1985) was kept constant for all evoked responses on a given preparation. There was no whisker movement during recordings of spontaneous events. The interstimulus interval for the signal-averaged control trials was usually 7 sec. Since it took approximately 2 min to display and store a set of results, recordings after bicuculline addition were made about once every 2–3 min.

Results

Figure 2 illustrates the evoked optical signals recorded from somatosensory cortex in response to a whisker movement before (top) and after (bottom) the addition of 1 mm bicuculline. The time of the beginning of the whisker movement is indicated by the small arrows under 3 of the detectors in both panels and under the ball electrode recordings shown in the upper-left corner of both panels. Bicuculline affects the response to whisker movement in 3 ways. First, the control signal is present in a small area of cortex, while after bicuculline the signal is found on almost every photodetector. Second, the signal after bicuculline is larger. In Figure 2, the fractional change (AF/F) of the control signal was about 5 × 10⁻⁴, while after bicuculline the signal was 6 times larger. On average (8 preparations), the addition of bicuculline led to an increase in signal size by a factor of 13 ± 2 (mean ± SEM). Because of the much larger signal size, the optical recordings made after the addition of bicuculline did not require signal averaging. All of these results come from single trials. Third, the control signal is briefer; note that the time base for the top panel is only half as long as the bottom panel. Similar differences in response characteristics were obtained in every preparation in which these 3 comparisons could be made.

Figure 3 compares the time course of the evoked signals before and after bicuculline in 2 preparations using an expanded time scale. The control signal is briefer and occurs with a shorter latency after the beginning of the whisker movement. In 8 preparations we measured the time between the beginning of whisker movement and the time for the optical signal to reach its half-height. For the control signals the average latency was 32 ± 5 msec; after bicuculline the latency increased to 69 ± 12 msec.

While the evoked signal illustrated in the bottom panel of Figure 2 appears to occur at the same time on all the detectors, when an expanded time base is used, the signal is found first in that area of cortex where the control signals were found (Fig. 4). Figure 4 illustrates the outputs of 4 groups of 9 detectors. The signal from region 1 (the detector labeled 1 and the 8 surrounding detectors) occurs earlier than the signals from 3 other regions of the array. Region 1 is where the control signal is found (Fig. 2, top panel). We determined the location of the shortest latency evoked epileptic signal in 5 preparations in which the location of the control signal was also determined. In 3 preparations, including the one illustrated in Figures 2 and 4, the evoked epileptic signal appeared to originate in the same area of cortex where the control signal was found. In the fourth preparation, the evoked response appeared simultaneously in an area of cortex that was somewhat larger than the control response area. In the fifth preparation, the evoked response after bicuculline appeared simultaneously (within the accuracy of our measurements, ca. 1.5 msec) on the entire cortical area (5.5 × 5.5 mm) that was monitored.

In the experiment illustrated in Figure 4, the apparent prop-
Figure 2. Optical recordings from rat somatosensory cortex in response to whisker stimulation before (top) and 7 min after (bottom) the addition of 1 mM bicuculline to the surface of the cortex. Each trace represents the output of one photodiode. The control signals measured before the addition of bicuculline were much smaller and occurred over a more restricted area. Since both signals are elicited by whisker movements they are referred to as evoked signals. The pixels are arranged so that their relative position in the figure corresponds to the relative position of the areas of cortex imaged onto the array. In this figure (as well as Figs. 5 and 7), top is medial, bottom is lateral, left is caudal, and right is rostral. The time of the beginning of the whisker movement is indicated by the arrowheads under 3 of the detectors in both panels and under the ball electrode recordings shown in the upper-left corner of both panels. The outputs of only 108 pixels of the photodiode array are shown; the pixels on the bottom row did not have signals and were omitted. In the upper-left corner is shown the simultaneously measured ball electrode recording. In the recordings presented in Figures 2 and 5, as many as 4 of the photodiode amplifiers were not functioning. For those pixels, the data displayed is the mean of the abutting 4 pixels. In this and subsequent figures, the length of the vertical line to the right of the traces represents the stated value of the change in fluorescence divided by the resting fluorescence ($\Delta F/F$). In this figure, the value holds for the detectors in the center of the field. For the detectors at the edge, the bar would be smaller because less light reaches those detectors. In addition to the high-frequency filtering provided by the amplifier system, the traces were smoothed with 10 passes of a 1-2-1 digital smoothing routine. Top, The duration of the traces is 135 msec; 32 trials were averaged. Bottom, The duration of the traces is 270 msec; this result is from a single trial.

The propagation velocity of the signal does not appear to be directly proportional to the cortical distance from the origin (location 1). However, in other preparations, propagation velocity was proportional to distance. The apparent propagation velocities of the half-height of the upstroke of the signals in Figure 4 were calculated on the assumption that the signal originates in region 1 and propagates from there directly to positions 2, 3, and 4. Values from 0.1 to 0.3 m/sec were obtained. The average velocity in the 3 directions was 0.2 m/sec. The mean of the averages from 8 preparations was 0.6 ± 0.1 m/sec. This mean does not include the preparation mentioned above in which the evoked signal appeared simultaneously over the monitored area. In this unusual case, the propagation velocity was at least 3 m/sec.

The response to whisker movement that occurred after the addition of bicuculline could be measured several times on the same preparation. Figure 5 illustrates partial results of 8 such measurements. The third trial after the addition of bicuculline is shown nearly completely; for the other 7 trials, the outputs of only the fourth row of detectors is shown. The small arrows under 8 detectors in trial 3 mark the detectors that recorded control signals with amplitudes greater than 50% of the largest control signal. The response to whisker movement appeared to be similar in size and time course in trials 2-8. In addition, in
these 7 cases the response appeared first in the region indicated by the small arrows, and only later elsewhere on the cortex. In these 7 trials, the latency to half-height of the signals at the apparent origin was constant, $18.7 \pm 0.7$ msec. In addition the half-height of the response at the apparent origin preceded the response from regions 1, 4, and 3 (Fig. 4) by $4.8 \pm 0.4$, $5.9 \pm 0.4$, and $9.6 \pm 0.9$ msec, respectively. Thus, in this preparation, the propagation velocity was also constant from trial to trial. In a second preparation there was more variability between trials.

However, the first response (Fig. 5, trial 1), measured 2 min after the addition of bicuculline, was quite different from those recorded in trials 2–8. This first post-bicuculline response had a rapid time course, and it appeared only on the detectors on the right-hand side of the array, in fact, on just those detectors that had control signals. Data from this experiment are shown on an expanded time scale in Figure 6. The time course of the signal in a control trial and the first and third trials after bicuculline are compared. The traces are drawn to show the relative signal size in a single trial for all 3 recordings. The control signal is relatively small. The signal obtained in the first trial after bicuculline (transition signal) has a time course quite similar to the control signal. Thus, the bicuculline first increased the signal size (by a factor of about 7) without affecting its time course or distribution over the cortex. It is only in the next (third) trial, 6 min later, that the signal has the characteristic slower time course, longer latency, and propagation over the whole area of cortex.

In addition to the signals evoked by whisker stimulation, we also detected optical signals from spontaneous epileptiform events after the addition of bicuculline. These interictal signals did not share the regularity we found for the evoked response. Two such spontaneous events, from the same preparation used for Figure 5 are shown in Figure 7. The bottom panel illustrates a spontaneous event that was largest in the upper-right portion of the array. In the upper panel there was a spontaneous event whose spatial extent was very similar to the evoked response shown in Figure 5. However, in this case, the signal did not originate in the upper right as did the evoked responses but occurred first on the far left. There were 2 additional spontaneous signals monitored during the series shown in Figure 5. One originated at the bottom center and the second originated at the upper center. Thus, the spontaneous epileptiform events...
Figure 5. Comparison of 8 evoked trials recorded after the addition of bicuculline. The outputs of 104 detectors are shown for the third trial; for all other trials, the outputs of only the fourth row of detectors is shown (indicated by the large arrowheads in trial 3). In the first trial after bicuculline, the signals were fast and localized to the right-hand portion of the array. The responses from the trials 2–8 were similar in size, spatial distribution, and time course of spread across the cortex. The first trial occurred 2 min after the addition of bicuculline, the second trial 6 min after the addition, and the eighth trial was 41 min after the addition. Not every whisker stimulation led to a large evoked signal; in about half of the attempted measurements, there were no signals on either the ball electrode or the optical recording. The small arrowheads in the third trial indicate the beginning of the whisker movement. All the results are displayed at the same gain. For this figure, the signals were divided by the resting light levels, and thus the ΔF/F value (at right) holds for all detectors. The traces were smoothed with 5 passes of a 1-2-1 digital smoothing routine.

appeared to be random in their origin and variable in the extent of cortex over which they existed. They did, however, have a size, time course, and propagation velocity that was similar to the evoked epileptiform signals.

Most often the occurrence of large optical signals was correlated with large ball electrode signals. There were, however, exceptions, and instances from 2 preparations are illustrated in Figure 8. Results from experiment 16 are shown on the top and those from experiment 14 at the bottom. In each set of 3 traces, the top one is the ball electrode recording and the bottom two are representative optical recordings from separated locations on the cortex. In A and B of experiment 16 are shown 2 sequential evoked responses. While the ball electrode signals in A and B seem identical, in B the optical signals are much reduced in one area and absent in the second. A third recording, in C, is from a spontaneous event recorded later after reperfusion of the cortex with bicuculline. Since there is a large optical signal at this time, the absence of the optical signal in B cannot be due to bleaching of the dye or to irreversible damage to the cortex. The bottom section illustrates a similar lack of correspondence between optical and electrical recordings. In both cases the whisker movement generates an almost identical ball electrode response, but there is no detectable optical signal in B, while relatively large signals were detected at the same location in a subsequent trial (C). Again, there was a second addition of bicuculline between B and C. However, we are not sure that it is the addition of bicuculline per se that led to the reappearance of the signal. In some experiments, we seemed to be able to prevent the disappearance of the signal by taking more care to keep the dura moistened with saline.
Discussion

Because both the dye concentration and the light intensity are greatest in the upper layers of cortex, the optical signals must mainly originate from cortical layers I, II, and, perhaps, III. If rat cortex had the same opacity as salamander olfactory bulb (Orbach and Cohen, 1983), then the intensity reaching 1 mm into cortex would be only 10% of the intensity at the surface, and similarly only 10% of the emitted light from 1 mm deep would reach the surface. Thus, signals from 1 mm deep would be attenuated by a factor of 100. From measurements of light scattering (Orbach and Cohen, 1983), we guess that the spatial resolution of the present measurements would be in the range of a few hundred microns. Since each photodiode received light from a 450 x 450 μm area of cortex, the spatial resolution was probably limited by the number of pixels in the diode array rather than the optical properties of cortex. Even so, the spatial resolution is still greater than that obtained with cortical surface electrodes and considerably better than that obtained with scalp recordings (Cooper et al., 1965; Goldensohn and Salazar, 1986). The spatial resolution of these optical measurements could be increased by increasing the pixel number or the objective magnification.

The addition of bicuculline affected several aspects of the cortical response to whisker stimulation. The response increased in size and in duration, had a longer latency, and spread over the entire area of cortex that was treated with the drug. While the increase in latency may seem surprising, Traub and collaborators (Traub et al., 1987; Miles et al., 1988) have suggested that a signal with a long latency could occur if the event results from excitatory connections between principal cells and these connections were sparse. In this situation, the activation of a large fraction of the principal neurons would require several cycles of presynaptic spikes, excitatory postsynaptic potentials, and postsynaptic spikes.

The evoked signal found after the addition of bicuculline spread with an apparent velocity of approximately 0.6 m/sec. This velocity is within the range reported for propagation along peripheral nonmyelinated C fibers, 1.0 m/sec (Douglas and Ritchie, 1961); stratum radiatum fibers in hippocampus, 0.5 m/sec (Andersen et al., 1978; Miles et al., 1988); and parallel fibers in cerebellum, 0.3 m/sec (Eccles et al., 1966). However, 0.6 m/sec was somewhat faster than previously reported for propagation of epileptiform events in cat cortex, 0.25 m/sec (Goldensohn and Salazar, 1986); hippocampal slices, 0.14 m/sec (Miles et al., 1988); and neocortical slices, 0.08 m/sec (Chervin et al., 1988). One difference between our experimental procedure and that of Goldensohn and Salazar (1986) is that they applied the epileptic agent at a small spot on the cortex and thus its concentration would have decreased with increasing distance from the point of application. If the optical signal does result from propagation along the surface of the cortex, then its velocity might suggest that propagation may be achieved by simple spread along nonmyelinated axons. It is, however, possible that the actual mechanism is not so simple (Knowles et al., 1987; Traub et al., 1987; Miles et al., 1988).

In 4 preparations a transition signal between the control response and the bicuculline treated response was seen. The transition signal (Fig. 5, trial 1; Fig. 6, first trial) was larger than the control signal, while its latency, time course, and cortical extent were similar. In subsequent trials, the evoked signal was even larger, and was also slower, delayed, and occurred over an extended area of cortex. One possible interpretation of these results is that bicuculline first causes the control response to increase in size. This transition signal then converts to a signal that is slower, has a longer latency, and is more widespread. A second, alternate hypothesis is that the control signal is always present and bicuculline induces an additional signal that is large, slow, and widespread. A comparison of the control signal and the signal from the third trial after bicuculline (Fig. 6) indicates that we cannot rule out the possibility that the control signal is always present since the control signal is no bigger than the noise in the third trial. (Even averaging 6 trials after bicuculline did not improve the signal-to-noise ratio enough to rule out the continued existence of the control signal). However, in Figure 6, the transition signal does apparently disappear when the full effect of the bicuculline is seen, a result that is simply interpreted in terms of the first hypothesis. The disappearance of the transition signal makes it difficult to associate the control and transition optical signals with the control and enhanced physiological response (EPR) seen in field potential recordings (Towe et al., 1981; Ebersole and Chatt, 1986). In future experiments we plan to test other bicuculline concentrations which might lead to either more persistent or additional transition states.

After the transition, the evoked response in bicuculline appears to be relatively constant. It originates in the same location, has the same latency, and propagates over the cortex in a repeatable fashion. Furthermore these responses are approxi-
Figure 7. Two measurements made during spontaneous interictal epileptic events. In the upper panel, the epileptiform signals occur on many detectors; in the lower panel, the signal is largest in the upper right portion of the cortical area but cannot be detected on the left. The ball electrode recordings are shown in the upper-left corner. The 2 measurements are from the same preparation whose results are illustrated in Figures 5 and 6 and were taken during the series of evoked responses illustrated in Figure 5. For this figure, the signals were divided by the resting light levels, and thus the $\Delta F/F$ value indicated at right holds for all detectors. The traces were smoothed with 5 passes of a 1-2-1 digital smoothing routine. The frequency of spontaneous events, as detected by the ball electrode, appeared to be reduced by turning off the respirator, and thus several trials often had to be recorded to obtain a trial with a spontaneous event.
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Figure 8. Comparison of ball electrode and optical recordings illustrating 2 instances where the correlation was poor. In each panel, the top trace is the ball electrode recording and the pair of optical recordings are the average of 9 detectors from 2 regions of the array. In experiment 16, the trials shown in A and B have identical ball electrode responses, but the optical response in B is substantially reduced. In experiment 14, no optical response can be detected in B, but there is a large signal in C even though the ball electrode signals are identical. The results from experiment 16 are also an example of different propagation directions for evoked (A) and spontaneous (C) responses. The top optical recordings come from near the top center and the bottom optical recording came from the bottom center. The traces were smoothed with 4 passes of a 1-2-1 digital smoothing routine.

discrepancies between optical and electrode measurements illustrated in Figure 8 suggest caution in interpreting the optical signals in terms of signals recorded with extracellular electrodes.

The fractional changes in fluorescence (ΔF/F) from the potential-sensitive styryl dye after bicuculline were about 5 × 10⁻³. These fractional changes are 1 or 2 orders of magnitude smaller than the fractional changes in NADH fluorescence that accompany interictal epileptiform discharges (Lewis et al., 1974; Schuette et al., 1974) and ictal seizures (Jobis et al., 1971; O’Connor et al., 1972; Lewis et al., 1974; Evans and Smith, 1987). Our signals (with a styryl dye) were also 2 orders of magnitude smaller than the signals found by Evans and Smith (1987) with an oxonol dye. Part of this difference presumably results from the fact that our measurements were made during interictal events, while those of Evans and Smith were made during ictal events, and the neuronal activity during interictal events may be less intense. Furthermore, it is possible that oxonol measurements made on a slower time scale include some slow cortical signals such as those first reported by Blasdel and Salama (Blasdel and Salama, 1986; Grinvald et al., 1986). Thus, it would be of interest to test both dyes under identical measuring conditions.

The signals presented in this paper were measured with a time resolution of less than 5 msec; more than 2 orders of magnitude faster than the measurements of NADH fluorescence (Jobis et al., 1971; O’Connor et al., 1972; Lewis et al., 1974; Schuette et al., 1974; Evans and Smith, 1987). Since the ictal responses propagated at about 0.5 mm/msec, it is clear that millisecond time resolution is required to measure their spatial origin and propagation rates. The NADH fluorescence response to activity in the electric organ of *Electrophorus* has a latency of several seconds (Aubert et al., 1964); thus metabolic signals may be too slow to be useful for determining spatial origins and rates of propagation.

Because we added the bicuculline to the entire area of cortex that was exposed by the craniotomy, we could not make measurements of cortical activity at the boundary between control and bicuculline-treated cortex. In future experiments, this could be done using either local superfusion or microinjection of the epileptogenic agent (Chatt and Ebersole, 1984). Goldensohn and Salazar (1986) have presented evidence suggesting that in the region surrounding the area of bicuculline addition only a long-lasting inhibition should be detected. The low-frequency filtering in the optical apparatus will have to be reduced to allow detection of signals that are this slow.

Our results suggest the possibility that optical measurements of cortical activity might be useful clinically in localizing the foci of epileptic seizures that have cortical origins or in determining the location of somatosensory or motor areas of cortex. Measurements from substantially larger areas of cortex would be needed for these applications. To make measurements from a larger area while keeping the spatial resolution constant will require an array with more pixels. Optical measurements would also be facilitated if larger signal-to-noise ratios were available.
Larger signals could come from dyes with larger signals, or better dye delivery to neuronal membranes, or from more sophisticated noise-reduction techniques.
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